



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,290	07/19/2001	Scott B. Koester	16356.633 (DC-02908) 9963		
27683 75	590 04/14/2004		EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			CHIANG, JACK		
DALLAS, TX	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2642	a	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Kanta	
Office Action Summary	09/909290 Examiner	<u> </u>	Group Art Unit	#9
	J.C.	ing	Group Art Unit	# 7
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be			ldress
Period for Response	۲ ۱			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TTO EXPIRE	MONTI	H(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statuto it, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ry minimum of the from the mailing	nirty (30) days will be o	considered timely.
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	5-04			·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
⊠ Claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the appl	ication.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	is/are a	is/are allowed.		
欧Claim(s) トフ	is/are r	_ is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are o	is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)————			•	or election
Application Papers		require	ment.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [disapproved	i.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under complex c	priority documents ha	ve been		
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Intern 			·	
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)				
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(terview Sumn	nary, PTO-413		
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□N	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther		
Office #	ction Summary			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-328 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No._

Application/Control Number: 09/909,290

Art Unit: 2642

CLAIMS

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chen (US 5832098).

Regarding claim 1, Chen shows a headphone module assembly (2) comprising:

A headphone module (2) including a carrier (2c, 7c; or 2e; or 231g-232g) operable for mounting in a computer chassis (4, phone has computing function);

A retractor (216g, 218g);

A flexible cord (242, 26c);

At least one headphone (241) connected to a first cord end;

A connector (233, or 233c) connected to a second cord end; and

A headphone receptacle (235g, see also fig. 16).

Regarding claims 3-7, Chen shows:

Two headphones (206, 208);

The cord reel (216g, 218g);

Application/Control Number: 09/909,290

Art Unit: 2642

The headphone receptacle being at the first end (receptacle for 241 in fig. 3);
The connector (233) can be adjacent to the headphone receptacle (figs. 3);
The connector (233, or 233c) can be adjacent the second end (end for 233 in fig. 3);
Mounting rails (2181g, 234g, see also fig. 12).

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen in view of Luplow (US 5339461).

Regarding claim 2, Chen shows one headphone (241).

Chen differs from the claimed invention in that it does not show two headphones.

However, it is commonly seen that headphone assembly has one headphone or sometime two headphones. This is shown by Luplow (headphones 206, 208).

Hence, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to modify Chen's one headphone with two headphones with/without the teaching of Luplow. This is simply a well known and conventional features in headphone assembly.

5. Claims 8-21 have been allowed over the prior art of record because the claimed features of the headphone module and its mounting in a computer PC card slot.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,290

Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

ARGUMENT

6. In response to the remarks (pages 8-10), the 102 rejection under Luplow is now withdrawn. Argument is answered by the rejections above, see comments above.

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner